(5)

(a)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 1668 of 2002

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONERS:- 1. Dr. Dinesh Singh Nadanwan S/o Shankar Singh Nadanwan aged 52 years occupation Service r/o infront of Ravi shankar Stadium, Kota, Raipur , District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

2. Rakesh Singh Thakur s/o Khem Singh Thakur aged 40 years occupation Service R/o 241 Samta Colony Raipur District Raipur Chhattisg

P.R. No. 1661/02
Presented by Shri ...
dated ...13...8...02...

Versus

RESPONDENTS:- 1.Rajput Kshatriya Mahasabha Chhattisgarh, Rahtadah, Registration No. 1282 a registered society under the society registricakan Adhiniyam 1973 through Secretary Mahendra Singh Parihar .

2. Registrar, Firms and Societies, Chhattigarh, Raipur, Chhattigarh

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS:- As detailed in the cause title above.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:- As detailed in the cause title above.

3. PARTICUALRS OF THE ORDER AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS BEING MADE:- That the petitioner is not directed against any particular order but against the decision

RECEIVED COPY.
13/8

(69)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP/1668/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-3-2004 Shri Alok Bakshi, counsel for the petitioners. Shri H.B. Agrawal, counsel for respondent No.1. Shri S.C. Verma, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.2. Heard. The petitioners have preferred this writ petition challenging the memorandum dated 3-6-2002, Annexure-P/1, whereby the memberships of the petitioners of Rajput Kshatriya Mahasabha Chhattisgarh, registration No.1282 have been terminated. The main contention of the petitioners is that their memberships have been terminated without giving them any notice and opportunity of hearing. Mr. Agrawal, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 very frankly and fairly submitted that they will give them show cause notices and opportunity of hearing and thereafter they will pass appropriate order. In view of this submission made by the learned counsel for respondent No.1, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order is ~~set aside~~. Accordingly IA 7292, 2674, 9387/03, 14 WP-782/02 stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Barve

[पीछे देखिये